DOMINATING χ-COLOR NUMBER OF GENERALIZED PETERSEN GRAPHS

T.Ramachandran¹, D.Udayakumar², A.Naseer Ahmed³

¹Department of Mathematics, M.V.M Government Arts College for Women, Dindigul, (India) ²Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,Karur, (India) ³Department of Mathematics, K.S.R College of Arts and Science, Thiruchengode, (India)

ABSTRACT

Dominating χ -color number of a graph G is defined as the maximum number of color classes which are dominating sets of G and is denoted by d_{χ} , where the maximum is taken over all χ -coloring of G. In this paper, we discussed the dominating χ -color number of Generalized Petersen Graphs. We have also discussed the condition under which chromatic number equals dominating χ -color number of Generalized Petersen Graphs.

Keywords: Chromatic number, Dominating number, Dominating χ -Color number, Generalized Petersen Graph, Strong Dominating χ -Color number.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple, connected, finite, undirected graph. The order and size of G are denoted by n and m [1]. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of G, if for every vertex $x \in V(G) \setminus D$ there is a vertex $y \in D$ with $xy \in E(G)$. And the set D is said to be strong dominating set of G, if it satisfy the additional condition $d(x,G) \leq d(y,G)$ [2]. The strong domination number $\gamma_{st}(G)$ is defined as the minimum cardinality of a strong dominating set. Also D is said to be weak dominating set of G if it satisfy the additional condition $d(u,G) \geq d(v,G)$. The weak domination number $\gamma_w(G)$ is defined as the minimum cardinality of a weak dominating set. It was introduced by Sampathkumar and PushpaLatha (Discrete Math. 161 (1996)235-242)[3].

The generalized Petersen graph GP(n, k), also denoted P(n, k) (Biggs 1993, p. 119; Pemmaraju and Skiena 2003, p. 215), for $n \ge 3$ and $1 \le k < n/2$ is a graph consisting of an inner star polygon (n, k) (Circulant Graph) and an outer regular polygon (n) (cycle graph C_n) with corresponding vertices in the inner and outer polygons connected with edges. GP(n, k) has 2n nodes and 3n edges. These graphs were introduced by Coxeter (1950) named by Watkins (1969) and around 1970 popularized by Frucht, Graver and Watkins. [4, 5, 6].



Definition 2.1: [7] Let G be a graph with $\chi(G) = k$. Let $C = V_1, V_2, ..., V_k$ be a k -coloring of G. Let d_C denote the number of color classes in C which are dominating sets of G. Then $d_{\chi}(G) = max_c d_c$ where the maximum is taken over all the k -colorings of G, is called the dominating χ -color number of G.

Definition 2.2:[8] Let G be a graph with $\chi(G) = k$. Let $C = V_1, V_2, ..., V_k$ be a k -coloring of G. Let d_C denote the number of color classes in C which are strong dominating sets of G. Then $d_{\chi}(G) = max_c d_c$ where the maximum is taken over all the k -colorings of G, is called the strong dominating χ -color number of G.

And strong dominating χ -color number of G is denoted by $sd_{\chi}(G)$. Strong-dominating χ -color number $sd_{\chi}(G)$ exists for all graphs G and $1 \leq sd_{\chi}(G) \leq d_{\chi}(G) \leq \chi(G)$.

Definition 2.3: [9] The Generalized Petersen graph P(n, k) is a graph with vertex and edge set given by, $V(P(n, k)) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ $E(P(n, k)) = \{u_i u_{i+1}, u_i v_i, v_i v_{i+k} : i = 0, \dots, n-1\}$

Where the subscripts are expressed as integers modulo $n \ (n \ge 5)$.

Propositions: [10]

- 1. $sd_{\chi}(G) = d_{\chi}(G) = \begin{cases} 3 & n \text{ is odd multiple of 3} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- 2. P(n, k) is a 3-regular graph with 2n vertices and 3n edges.
- 3. P(n, k) is bipartite if and only if n is even and k is odd.
- 4. If G is regular, then $sd_{\gamma}(G) = d_{\gamma}(G)$

III. DOMINATING χ -COLOR NUMBER OF GENERALIZED PETERSEN GRAPHS

Let G = P(n, k) where $k < \frac{n}{2}$, be the Generalized Petersen Graph with 2n vertices and 3n edges. By the definition of Generalized Petersen Graph, the set of outer vertices, say U and the set of inner vertices, say V are labeled u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} and v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} respectively. For any $k < \frac{n}{2}$, the vertices u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are adjacent to u_1, u_2, \dots, u_0 respectively. By the construction of P(n, k) the induced sub-graph of U will form a cycle of length n. But the adjacency of the vertices of V is related to the common factors of n and k. Since P(n, k) is 3-regular graph, $d_{\chi}(P(n, k)) = sd_{\chi}(P(n, k))$.

Lemma 3.1 Let G be a graph with vertex set $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ and the edge set $\{v_i v_{i+k} : i = 0, \dots, n-1\}$ where the subscripts are expressed as integers modulon $(n \ge 5)$. For any $k < \frac{n}{2}$, if gcd(n, k) = 1, then $G \cong C_n$ and if gcd(n, k) = g, then $G \cong gC_{n/q}$

Lemma 3.2 If G = P(n, k) is a generalized Petersen graph, then $2 \le \chi(G) \le 3$

Theorem 3.3 If G = P(n, k) is a generalized Petersen graph, then $2 \le d_{\chi}(G) \le 3$

Proof

By Lemma 3.1, the induced sub graphs of U and V are isomorphic to cycle C_n and $g = \gcd(n, k)$ copies of $C_{n/g}$ that has dominating χ -color number is either 2 or 3. By Lemma 3.2, $\chi(G)$ is either 2 or 3, that means there exist a coloring function c from $U \cup V$ to $\{0,1,2\}$ such that u_i and v_i does not have the same color. So, adding the edges $u_i v_i$, i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 to connect the induced sub-graphs U and V to get P(n, k) will not reduce the dominating χ -color number. Thus $d_{\chi}(G) = 2$ or 3.

Theorem 3.4Let P(n, k) be a generalized Petersen graph. Then $d_{\chi}(P(n, k)) = 2$ if either P(n, k) is bipartite or n is not a multiple of 3

Proof

If P(n, k) is bipartite, then each partition have different color and dominates one another. Since both color class are dominating set, $d_{\chi}(P(n, k)) = 2$.

If *n* is not multiple of 3, Now the set of outer vertices, say *U* and the set of inner vertices, say *V* are labeled u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} and v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} respectively, as per the definition.

By Lemma-3.2, the chromatic number of P(n,k) is either 2or3. Since n and $\frac{n}{g}$ is not multiple of 3, $d_{\chi}(C_n) = 2$ and $d_{\chi}(C_{n/g}) = 2$. There exist a coloring function c from $U \cup V = \{u_i, v_i, 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ to $\{0,1,2\}$ such that u_i and v_i does not have the same color. Since n is not multiple of 3, adding the edges $u_i v_i$, i = 0,1,2,...,n-1 to connect the induced sub-graphs U and V to get P(n,k) which makes even cycles $u_i v_i v_{i+g} u_{i+g} u_{i+g-1} ... u_{i-1} u_i$, for i = 0,1,2,...,n-1, will not affect the dominating χ -color number $d_{\chi}(G) = 2$.

Theorem 3.5Let P(n, k) be a generalized Petersen graph. Then $d_{\chi}(P(n, k)) = 3$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

Proof

Case A: If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$

If gcd(n, k) = 1 and *n* is odd, by Lemma-3.1, the induced sub-graph of outer vertices *U* of $G \cong C_n$. And also, the induced sub-graph of inner vertices *V* of $G \cong C_n$. Here, C_n is a cycle of odd length. Since *n* is odd and multiple of 3, by Proposition-1, dominating χ -color number d_{χ} of odd cycle C_n is 3.

If gcd(n, k) = g and n is odd, g cannot be even. Also it is clear that n/g is odd. By Lemma-3.1, the outer vertices U of $G \cong C_n$. And the induced sub-graph of inner vertices V will form g numbers of disjoint cycles $C_{n/g}$. Since n and n/g is odd multiple of 3, $\chi(C_n) = 3$ and $\chi(C_{n/g}) = 3$.

Since $\chi(G) = 3$, there exists a coloring function c from $U \cup V = \{u_i, v_i, 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ to $\{0,1,2\}$ such that u_i and v_i does not have the same color. So, adding the edges $u_i v_i$, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1 to connect the induced sub-graphs U and V to get P(n, k) which makes cycles $u_i v_i v_{i+g} u_{i+g} u_{i+g-1} ... u_{i-1} u_i$, for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1, will not reduce the dominating χ -color number $d_{\chi}(G) = 3$.

Case B: If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

Since n and k is even, gcd(n, k) > 1.Let g be gcd(n, k). Alsog is even.

If n/g is odd. By Lemma – 3.1, the outer vertices $U \text{ of } G \cong C_n$. The induced sub-graph of inner vertices V will form g numbers of disjoint cycles $C_{n/g}$.

If n/g is odd multiple of 3, then $\chi(C_n) = 2$ and $\chi(C_{n/g}) = 3$.

Also $d_{\chi}(C_n) = 2$ and $d_{\chi}(C_{n/g}) = 3$. So the chromatic number of a graph with vertex $U \cup V$ is 3. If n/g is even multiple of 3, then $\chi(C_n) = 2$ and $\chi(C_{n/g}) = 2$.

Also $d_{\chi}(C_n) = 2$ and $d_{\chi}(C_{n/g}) = 2$. Also $\chi(C_n) = 2$ and $\chi(C_{n/g}) = 2$. Adding the edges $u_i v_i$, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1 to connect the induced sub-graphs U and V to get P(n, k) which makes odd cycles $u_i v_i v_{i+g} u_{i+g-1} ... u_{i-1} u_i$, for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1, increase the chromatic number. So the chromatic number of a graph with vertex $U \cup V$ is 3.

In both cases, there exist a coloring function c from $U \cup V = \{u_i, v_i, 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ to $\{0,1,2\}$ such that, For $i \equiv p \pmod{3g}$,

$$c(v_i) = j \text{ if } \left\lfloor \frac{i}{g} \right\rfloor \equiv j \pmod{3} \text{ and } c(u_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le p < g \text{ and even} \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \le p < 2g \text{ and odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } g \le p < 2g \text{ and even} \\ 1 & \text{if } 2g \le p < 3g \text{ and even} \\ 0 & \text{if } 2g \le p < 3g \text{ and odd} \end{cases}$$

where *c* is expressed as integer modulo 3.

Hence the dominating χ -color number $d_{\chi}(G) = 3$.

Theorem 3.7Let G = P(n, k) be a generalized Petersen graph with k = 1 and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $d_{\chi}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

If *n* is even multiple of 3, then it is clear from the Proposition -3, P(3m, 1) is bipartite. Hence $d_{\chi}(G) = \chi(G) = 2$. If *n* is odd multiple of 3, then there exist coloring function *c* from the set of vertices $\{u_i, v_i, 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$ is defined by $c(u_i) = j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{3}$ and $c(v_i) = j+1$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{3}$ where *c* is expressed as integers modulo 3. Clearly, the each color class C[0], C[1], C[2] dominates P(n, 1). Hence $d_{\chi}(G) = \chi(G) = 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Douglas B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, 2nd edition Prentice Hall, Inc, Mass, 2001.
- [2] Dieter Rautenbach, Bounds on the strong domination number, Discrete Mathematics, 215, 2000, 201-212.
- [3] E. Sampathkumar and L. PushpaLatha, Strong, weak Domination and Domination Balance in a Graph, Discrete Math. 161 (1996) 235-242.
- [4] ArjanaZitnik, Boris Horvat, TomazPisanski, All generalized Petersen graphs are unit-distance graphs. Preprint series, IMFM, ISSN 2232-2094, no. 1109, January 4, 2010.
- [5] H. S. M. Coxeter, Self-dual configurations and regular graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 56 (1950), 413-455.

- [6] M.E. Watkins, A theorem on Tait colorings with an application to the generalized Petersen graphs, J. Combin. Theory, 6 (1969), 152-164.
- [7] S. Arumugam, I. SahulHamid and A. Muthukamatchi, Independent Domination and Graph Colorings, In: Proceedings of international Conference on Discrete Mathematics. Lecture Note series in Mathematics, Ramanujan Mathematical Society (India)(2008).
- [8] T.Ramachandran, A.Naseer Ahmed, Strong and Weak Dominating χ-Color Number of K-Partite Graph, International Journal of Advanced Technology in Engineering and Science, Vol 02, Issue 09, 2014.
- [9] B. Alspach, The classification of Hamiltonian generalized Petersen graphs, J. Combin. Th. (B), 34 (1983), 293-312.
- [10] Fox.J, R. Gera, and P. Stanica, The Independence Number for the Generalized Petersen Graphs, Ars Combin. 103 (2012) 439–451.