

# MUTUALLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE (P) AND FIXED POINT THEOREM IN NON- ARCHIMEDEAN Menger PM-SPACE

V. K. Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Arihant Jain<sup>2</sup>, Dhansingh Bamniya<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Madhav Science College, Ujjain, M.P (India)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Shri Guru Sandipani Institute of Technology and Science, Ujjain, M.P (India)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. College, Khargone (India)

## ABSTRACT

*In the present paper, we extend and generalize the result of Cho et. al. [2] by introducing two types of compatible maps in a non-Archimedean Menger PM-space and obtain a common fixed point theorem for six self maps.*

*Keywords and Phrases -- Non-Archimedean Menger probabilistic metric space, Common fixed points, Compatible maps, mutually compatible maps*

**AMS Subject Classification (2000)** -- Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of non-Archimedean Menger space has been established by Istrătescu and Crivat [4]. The existence of fixed point of mappings on non-Archimedean Menger space has been given by Istrătescu [3].

In 1997, Cho et al. [2] introduced the concepts of compatible maps and compatible maps of type (A) in non-Archimedean Menger probabilistic metric spaces and gave some fixed point theorems for these maps. In a paper, Singh, Jain and Jain [10] generalized the result of Cho et. al. [2] by introducing the notion of compatible self maps of type (P-1) and type (P-2).

## II. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 1.** [2] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $D$  be the set of all left-continuous distribution functions. An ordered pair  $(X, F)$  is called a non-Archimedean probabilistic metric space (shortly a N.A. PM-space) if  $F$  is a mapping from  $X \times X$  into  $D$  satisfying the following conditions (the distribution function  $F(u,v)$  is denoted by  $F_{u,v}$  for all  $u, v \in X$ ):

(PM-1)  $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$ , for all  $x > 0$ , if and only if at least two of the three points are equal;

(PM-2)  $F_{u,v} = F_{v,u}$ ;

(PM-3)  $F_{u,v}(0) = 0$ ;

(PM-4) If  $F_{u,v}(x_1) = 1, F_{v,w}(x_2) = 1$  then  $F_{u,w}(\max\{x_1, x_2\}) = 1$   
 for all  $u, v, w \in X$  and  $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$ .

**Definition 2.** [2] A t-norm is a function  $\Delta : [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  which is associative, commutative, non-decreasing in each coordinate and  $\Delta(a,1,1) = a$  for every  $a \in [0,1]$ .

**Definition 3.** [2] A N.A. Menger PM-space is an ordered triple  $(X, F, \Delta)$ , where  $(X, F)$  is a non-Archimedean PM-space and  $\Delta$  is a t-norm satisfying the following condition:

(PM-5)  $F_{u,w}(\max\{x,y\}) \geq \Delta(F_{u,v}(x), F_{v,w}(y))$ , for all  $u, v, w \in X$  and  $x, y \geq 0$ .

The concept of neighbourhoods in Menger PM-space was introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [8]. If  $x \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , then an  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  - neighbourhood of  $x$ ,  $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)$  is defined by  $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X : F_{xy}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$ .

If the t-norm is continuous and strictly increasing then  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is a Hausdorff space in the topology induced by the family  $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{x \in X, \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0,1)\}$  of neighbourhoods.

**Definition 4.**[2] A N.A. PM-space  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is said to be of type  $(C)_g$  if there exists a  $g \in \Omega$  such that

$$g(F_{x,y}(t)) \leq g(F_{x,z}(t)) + g(F_{z,y}(t))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ , where  $\Omega = \{g : [0,1] \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is continuous, strictly decreasing, } g(1) = 0 \text{ and } g(0) < \infty\}$ .

**Definition 5.** [2] A N.A. Menger PM-space  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is said to be type  $(D)_g$  if there exists a  $g \in \Omega$  such that

$$g(\Delta(t_1, t_2)) \leq g(t_1) + g(t_2) \text{ for all } t_1, t_2 \in [0,1].$$

**Remark 1.**

- (1) If a N.A. Menger PM-space  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is of type  $(D)_g$  then  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is of type  $(C)_g$ .
- (2) If a N.A. Menger PM-space  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is of type  $(D)_g$ , then it is metrizable, where the metric  $d$  on  $X$  is defined by

$$d(x,y) = \int_0^1 g(F_{x,y}(t)) dt \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \tag{*}$$

Throughout this paper, suppose  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete N.A. Menger PM-space of type  $(D)_g$  with a continuous strictly increasing t-norm  $\Delta$ .

Let  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function satisfied the condition  $(\Phi)$  :

$(\Phi)$   $\phi$  is upper-semicontinuous from the right and  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.** [2] If a function  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ , then we have

- (1) For all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ ,  $\phi^n(t)$  is n-th iteration of  $\phi(t)$ .
- (2) If  $\{t_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence of real numbers and  $t_{n+1} \leq \phi(t_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ .

In particular, if  $t \leq \phi(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ , then  $t = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** [2] Let  $A, B, S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying the condition (1) and (2) as follows :

- (1)  $A(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ .
- (2)  $g(F_{Ax,By}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{Sx,Ty}(t)), g(F_{Sx,Ax}(t)), g(F_{Ty,By}(T)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{Sx,By}(T)) + g(F_{Ty,Ax}(t)))\})$

for all  $t > 0$ , where a function  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ . Then the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$ , defined by  $Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = y_{2n}$  and  $Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } X.$$

**Definition 6.** [10] Let  $A, S : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings.  $A$  and  $S$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{ASx_n, SAx_n}(t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$  for some  $z$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 7.** [10] Let  $A, S : X \rightarrow \square X$  be mappings.  $A$  and  $S$  are said to be compatible maps of type (P) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{ASx_n, SSx_n}(t)) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{SAx_n, AAx_n}(t)) = 0$$

for all  $t > 0$ , whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$  for some  $z$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 8.** [10] Let  $A, S : X \rightarrow \square X$  be mappings.  $A$  and  $S$  are said to be compatible maps of type (P-1) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{ASx_n, SSx_n}(t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0, \text{ whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$$

for some  $z$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 9.** [10] Let  $A, S : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings.  $A$  and  $S$  are said to be compatible maps of type (P-2) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{SAx_n, AAx_n}(t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0, \text{ whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = z$$

for some  $z$  in  $X$ .

**Remark 2.** Clearly, if a pair of mappings  $(A, S)$  is compatible of type (P-1), then the pair  $(S, A)$  is compatible of type (P-2). Such maps are called mutually compatible of type (P). Further, if  $A$  and  $S$  are compatible mappings of type (P), then the pair  $(A, S)$  is compatible of type (P-1) as well as type (P-2). The following is an example of pair of self maps in a N.A. Menger PM-space which are mutually compatible of type (P) but not compatible.

**Example 1.** Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be the induced N.A. Menger PM-space, where  $X = [0, 2]$  and the metric  $d$  on  $X$  is defined in condition (\*) of remark 1. Define self maps  $A$  and  $S$  as follows :

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 2-x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2, \end{cases} \text{ and } Sx = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Take  $x_n = 1 - 1/n$ .

Now  $F_{Ax_n, 1}(t) = H(t - (1/n))$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_{Ax_n, 1}(t)) = 1$

Then  $Ax_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly,  $Sx_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Also  $F_{ASx_n, SAx_n}(t) = H(t - (1 - 1/n))$  and  $F_{ASx_n, SAx_n}(t) = g(H(t - 1)) \neq 0, \forall t > 0$

Hence, the pair  $(A, S)$  is not compatible.

But  $F_{ASx_n, SSx_n}(t) = H(t - (2/n))$

And,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(F_{ASx_n, SSx_n}(t)) = g(H(t)) = 0 \forall t \geq 0$ .

Hence, the pair  $(A, S)$  is compatible of type (P-1).

Similarly, the pair  $(A, S)$  is compatible of type (P-2).

From the above example it is obvious that  $S$  and  $T$  are mutually compatible but not compatible maps.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $B, T : X \rightarrow \square X$  be self maps of a N. A. Menger PM-space  $(X, F, *)$ .

(a) If B and T are compatible of type (P-1) and  $Bz = Tz$  for some  $z \in X$ , then  $BTz = TTz$

(b) If B and T are compatible of type (P-2) and  $Bz = Tz$  for some  $z \in X$ , then  $TBz = BBz$

which implies that  $TBz = BBz = BTz = TTz$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X defined by  $x_n = z, n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $Bz = Tz$ .

Then we have,  $Bx_n \rightarrow Bz, Tx_n \rightarrow Tz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  □

Since (B, T) is compatible of type (P-1), we have

$$g(F_{BTz, TTz}(t)) = g(F_{BTx_n, TTx_n}(t)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \square$$

i.e.  $BTz = TTz$ . (1)

Similarly, we can have

$$TBz = BBz. \quad (2)$$

Hence, by (1) and (2), we have  $BTz = TTz = TBz = BBz$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let B and T be self maps of a N. A. Menger PM-space  $(X, F, *)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $Bx_n, Tx_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z$  in X as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , Then we have the following :

(1) If the pair (B,T) is compatible of type (P-1) then  $TTx_n \rightarrow Bz$  if B is continuous at z.

(2) If the pair (B,T) is compatible of type (P-2) then  $BBx_n \rightarrow Tz$  if T is continuous at z

**Proof.** (i) Suppose T is continuous at z.

Since  $Bx_n = Tx_n = z$  for some  $z \in X$  and  $BTx_n \rightarrow Bz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since (B, T) is compatible of type (P-1), hence we have

$$g(F_{BTx_n, TTx_n}(t)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

therefore  $g(F_{Bz, TTx_n}(t)) \leq g(F_{Bz, BTx_n}(t)) + g(F_{BTx_n, TTx_n}(t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence  $TTx_n \rightarrow Bz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$

Similarly  $BBx_n \rightarrow Tz$

### III. MAIN RESULT

In the following, we extend the result of Cho et al. [2] to six self maps and generalize it in other respects too.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let A, B, S, T, L and M be self maps of a complete non-Archimedean Menger PM space  $(X, F, \Delta)$  satisfying the conditions :

$$(3.1) \quad L(X) \subseteq ST(X), \quad M(X) \subseteq AB(X)$$

$$(3.2) \quad AB = BA, \quad ST = TS, \quad LB = BL, \quad MT = TM;$$

(3.3) either AB or L is continuous;

(3.4) (L, AB) and (M, ST) are mutually compatible of type (P)

$$(3.5) \quad g(F_{Lx, My}(t)) \leq \phi (\max\{g(F_{ABx, STy}(t)), g(F_{ABx, Lx}(t)), g(F_{STy, My}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABx, My}(t)) + g(F_{STy, Lx}(t)))\})$$

for all  $t > 0$ , where a function  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ .

Then A, B, S, T, L and M have a unique common fixed point in X.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  □ From condition (3.1) □  $\exists x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that

$$Lx_1 = STx_2 = y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Mx_0 = ABx_1 = y_0.$$

Inductively, we can construct sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad Lx_{2n} = STx_{2n+1} = y_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad Mx_{2n+1} = ABx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Step 1.** We prove that  $g(F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

From (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)) &= g(F_{Lx_{2n}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}}(t)), g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Lx_{2n}}(t)), \\ &\quad g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Lx_{2n}}(t)))\}) \\ &= \phi(\max\{g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t)), g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t)), g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(1))\}) \\ &\leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t)), g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t)) + g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)))\}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t)) \leq g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t))$  for all  $t > 0$ , then by (3.5)

$$g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t))),$$

On applying Lemma 1, we have

$$g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$g(F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}}(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Thus, we have  $g(F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

On the other hand, if  $g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t)) \geq g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t))$ , then by (3.5), we have

$$g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t))) \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Similarly,  $g(F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t)))$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Thus, we have

$$g(F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{y_{n-1}, y_n}(t))) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \quad \text{and } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1,

$$g(F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \text{ which implies that } \{y_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } X \text{ by Lemma 2.}$$

Since  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is complete, the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to a point  $z \in X$ . Also its subsequences converges as follows :

$$(3.7) \quad \{Mx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z \quad \text{and} \quad \{STx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \{Lx_{2n}\} \rightarrow z \quad \text{and} \quad \{ABx_{2n}\} \rightarrow z.$$

**Case I. AB is continuous.**

As AB is continuous, and (L, AB) and (M, ST) are mutually compatible,

$$(AB)^2x_{2n} \rightarrow ABz \quad \text{and} \quad (AB)Lx_{2n} \rightarrow ABz.$$

As (L, AB) is mutually compatible, so by Proposition 2, we have

$$L(AB)x_{2n} \rightarrow ABz.$$

**Step 2.** Putting  $x = ABx_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{LABx_{2n}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}}(t)), g(F_{ABABx_{2n}, LABx_{2n}}(t)), \\ g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABABx_{2n}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, LABx_{2n}}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$g(F_{ABz,z}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABz,z}(t)), g(F_{ABz, ABz}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABz, z}(t)) + g(F_{z, ABz}(t)))\}).$$

i.e.  $g(F_{ABz,z}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{ABz,z}(t)))$

which implies that  $g(F_{ABz,z}(t)) = 0$  by Lemma 1 and so we have

$$ABz = z.$$

**Step 3.** Putting  $x = z$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{Lz, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABz, STx_{2n+1}}(t)), g(F_{ABz, Lz}(t)), \\ g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABz, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Lz}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$g(F_{Lz,z}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{z,z}(t)), g(F_{z, Lz}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{z, z}(t)) + g(F_{z, Lz}(t)))\}).$$

i.e.  $g(F_{Lz,z}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{Lz,z}(t)))$

which implies that  $g(F_{Lz,z}(t)) = 0$  by Lemma 1 and so we have

$$Lz = z.$$

Therefore,  $ABz = Lz = z$ .

**Step 4.** Putting  $x = Bz$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{LBz, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABBz, STx_{2n+1}}(t)), g(F_{ABBz, LBz}(t)), \\ g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABBz, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, LBz}(t)))\}).$$

As  $BL = LB$ ,  $AB = BA$ , so we have

$$L(Bz) = B(Lz) = Bz \quad \text{and} \quad AB(Bz) = B(ABz) = Bz.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$g(F_{Bz, z}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{Bz,z}(t)), g(F_{Bz, Bz}(t)), g(F_{z,z}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{Bz, z}(t)) + g(F_{z, Bz}(t)))\}).$$

i.e.  $g(F_{Bz, z}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{Bz, z}(t)))$

which implies that  $g(F_{Bz, z}(t)) = 0$  and so we have  $Bz = z$ .

Also,  $ABz = z$  and so  $Az = z$ .

Therefore,  $Az = Bz = Lz = z$ . (3.9)

**Step 5.** As  $L(X) \subset ST(X)$ , there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $z = Lz = STv$ .

Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = v$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{Lx_{2n}, Mv}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABx_{2n}, STv}(t)), g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Lx_{2n}}(t)), g(F_{STv, Mv}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Mv}(t)) + g(F_{STv, Lx_{2n}}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using equation (3.8), we get

$$g(F_{z, Mv}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{z, z}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), g(F_{z, Mv}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{z, Mv}(t)) + g(F_{z, z}(t)))\})$$

i.e.  $g(F_{z, Mv}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{z, Mv}(t)))$

which implies that  $g(F_{z, Mv}(t)) = 0$  by Lemma 1 and so we have

$$z = Mv.$$

Hence,  $STv = z = Mv$ .

As  $(M, ST)$  is mutually compatible, we have

$$STMv = MSTv.$$

Thus,  $STz = Mz$ .

**Step 6.** Putting  $x = x_{2n}$ ,  $y = z$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{Lx_{2n}, Mz}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABx_{2n}, STz}(t)), g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Lx_{2n}}(t)), g(F_{STz, Mz}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Mz}(t)) + g(F_{STz, Lx_{2n}}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using equation (3.8) and Step 5, we get

$$g(F_{z, Mz, a}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{z, Mz, a}(t)), g(F_{z, z, a}(t)), g(F_{Mz, Mz, a}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{z, Mz, a}(t)) + g(F_{Mz, z, a}(t)))\})$$

i.e.  $g(F_{z, Mz, a}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{z, Mz, a}(t)))$

which implies that  $g(F_{z, Mz, a}(t)) = 0$  by Lemma 1 and so we have  $z = Mz$ .

**Step 7.** Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = Tz$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{Lx_{2n}, MTz}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABx_{2n}, STTz}(t)), g(F_{ABx_{2n}, Lx_{2n}}(t)), \\ g(F_{STTz, MTz}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABx_{2n}, MTz}(t)) + g(F_{STTz, Lx_{2n}}(t)))\}).$$

As  $MT = TM$  and  $ST = TS$ , we have

$$MTz = TMz = Tz \quad \text{and} \quad ST(Tz) = T(STz) = Tz.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$g(F_{z, Tz}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{z, Tz}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), g(F_{Tz, Tz}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{z, Tz}(t)) + g(F_{Tz, z}(t)))\})$$

i.e.  $g(F_{z, Tz}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{z, Tz}(t)))$ ,

which implies that  $g(F_{z, Tz}(t)) = 0$  and so we have  $z = Tz$ .

Now  $STz = Tz = z$  implies  $Sz = z$ .

Hence  $Sz = Tz = Mz = z$ . (3.10)

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$Az = Bz = Lz = Mz = Tz = Sz = z.$$

Hence, the six self maps have a common fixed point in this case.

Similarly, it is clear that  $z$  is also the common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, L$  and  $M$  in the case  $AB$  is continuous, and  $(L, AB)$  and  $(M, ST)$  are compatible of type  $(P-2)$ .

**Case II.**  $L$  is continuous, and  $(L, AB)$  and  $(M, ST)$  are mutually compatible.

Since  $L$  is continuous,  $L^2x_{2n} \rightarrow Lz$  and  $L(AB)x_{2n} \rightarrow Lz$ .

As  $(L, AB)$  is mutually compatible, so by Proposition 2,

$$(AB)Lx_{2n} \rightarrow Lz.$$

**Step 8.** Putting  $x = Lx_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{LLx_{2n}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABLx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}}(t)), g(F_{ABLx_{2n}, LLx_{2n}}(t)), \\ g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABLx_{2n}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, LLx_{2n}}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$g(F_{Lz, z}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{Lz, z}(t)), g(F_{Lz, Lz}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{Lz, z}(t)) + g(F_{z, Lz}(t)))\})$$

i.e.  $g(F_{Lz, z}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{Lz, z}(t))),$

which implies that  $g(F_{Lz, z}(t)) = 0$  and we have  $Lz = z$ .

Now, using steps 5-7 gives us  $Mz = STz = Sz = Tz = z$ .

**Step 9.** As  $M(X) \subset AB(X)$ , there exists  $w \in X$  such that

$$z = Mz = ABw.$$

Putting  $x = w$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{Lw, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABw, STx_{2n+1}}(t)), g(F_{ABw, Lw}(t)), \\ g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABw, Mx_{2n+1}}(t)) + g(F_{STx_{2n+1}, Lw}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$g(F_{Lw, z}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{z, z}(t)), g(F_{z, Lw}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{z, z}(t)) + g(F_{z, Lw}(t)))\})$$

i.e.  $g(F_{Lw, z}(t)) \leq \phi(g(F_{Lw, z}(t))),$

which implies that  $g(F_{Lw, z}(t)) = 0$  and we have

$$Lw = z.$$

Thus, we have  $Lw = z = ABw$ .

Since  $(L, AB)$  is mutually compatible and so by Proposition 1, we have

$LABw = ABLw$  and hence, we have

$$Lz = ABz.$$

Also,  $Bz = z$  follows from step 4.

Thus,  $Az = Bz = Lz = z$  and we obtain that  $z$  is the common fixed point of the six maps in this case also.



Similarly, it is clear that  $z$  is also a common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, L$  and  $M$  in the case  $P$  is continuous, and  $(L, AB)$  and  $(M, ST)$  are compatible of type  $(P-2)$ .

**Step 10. (Uniqueness)** Let  $u$  be another common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, L$  and  $M$ ; then

$$Au = Bu = Su = Tu = Lu = Mu = u.$$

Putting  $x = z$  and  $y = u$  for  $t > 0$  in (3.5), we get

$$g(F_{Lz, Mu}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{ABz, Stu}(t)), g(F_{ABz, Lz}(t)), g(F_{STu, Mu}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{ABz, Mu}(t)) + g(F_{STu, Lz}(t)))\}).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$g(F_{z, u}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{z, u}(t)), g(F_{z, z}(t)), g(F_{u, u}(t)), \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{z, u}(t)) + g(F_{u, z}(t)))\}) \\ = \phi(g(F_{z, u}(t))),$$

which implies that  $g(F_{z, u}(t)) = 0$  and we have  $z = u$ .

Therefore,  $z$  is a unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, L$  and  $M$ .

This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.1.** If we take  $B = T = I$ , the identity map on  $X$  in theorem 1, then the condition (3.2) is satisfied trivially and we get

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $A, S, L, M : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying the condition :

- (a)  $L(X) \subset S(X), M(X) \subset A(X)$ ;
- (b) Either  $A$  or  $L$  is continuous;
- (c)  $(L, A)$  and  $(M, S)$  are mutually compatible of type  $(P)$
- (d)  $g(F_{Lx, My}(t)) \leq \phi(\max\{g(F_{Ax, Sy}(t)), g(F_{Ax, Lx}(t)), g(F_{Sy, My}(t)), \\ \frac{1}{2}(g(F_{Ax, My}(t)) + g(F_{Sy, Lx}(t)))\})$

for all  $t > 0$ , where a function  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ .

Then  $A, S, L$  and  $M$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Remark 3.2.** In view of remark 3.1, corollary 3.1 is a generalization of the result of Cho et. al. [2] in the sense that condition of compatibility of the pairs of self maps in a non-Archimedean Menger PM-space has been restricted to compatible of type  $(P)$  in a non-Archimedean Menger PM-space and only one of the mappings of the compatible of type  $(P-1)$  or compatible of type  $(P-2)$  pair is needed to be continuous.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Chang, S.S., Cho, Y.J., Kang, S.M. and Fan, J.X., Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in Menger PM-space, Math. Japon. 40 (2), (1994), 289-293.
- [2]. Cho, Y.J., Ha, K.S. and Chang, S.S., Common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type  $(A)$  in non-Archimedean Menger PM-spaces, Math. Japonica 48 (1), (1997), 169-179.
- [3]. Istrătescu, V.I., Fixed point theorems for some classes of contraction mappings on non-archimedean probabilistic metric space, Publ. Math. (Debrecen) 25 (1978), 29-34.
- [4]. Istrătescu, V.I. and Crivat, N., On some classes of nonarchimedean Menger spaces, Seminar de spatii Metriche probabiliste, Univ. Timisoara Nr. 12 (1974).

- [5]. Kutukcu, S. and Sharma, S., A Common Fixed Point Theorem in Non-Archimedean Menger PM-Spaces, *Demonstratio Mathematica* Vol. XLII (4), (2009), 837-849.
- [6]. Menger, K., Statistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA.* 28(1942), 535 -537.
- [7]. Mishra, S.N., Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, *Math. Japon.* 36(2), (1991), 283-289.
- [8]. Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A., Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 313-334.
- [9]. Sehgal, V.M. and Bharucha-Reid, A.T., Fixed points of contraction maps on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. System Theory* 6(1972), 97- 102.
- [10]. Singh, B., Jain, A. and Jain, M., Compatible Maps and Fixed Points in Non-Archimedean Menger PM-Spaces, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, Vol. 6 (38), (2011), 1895 – 1905.

IJATES