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ABSTRACT

With the continuous growth of modern technology, demand for image transmission and storage is increasing rapidly. Advance in computer technology for mass storage and digital processing paved way for implementing advanced data compression techniques. Fractal image is a new technique for encoding images compactly. It is based on local self similarity within images. This compression technique gives higher compression ratio with moderate image quality. In this algorithm instead of searching entire domain image it will search in the edges. This compression technique is superior to the other existing methods and compression ratio as high as 100 is possible. In the decoding technique the quality of image becomes better with each iteration.

Key words: Fractal, iterative, encoding, contrast, brightness

1. INTRODUCTION

Data compression is the process of transforming the data description into a more succinct and condensed form [3]. This improves storage efficiency, communication speed, and security. These facts justify the efforts, of private companies and universities, on new image compression algorithms. Images are stored on computers as collections of bits (a bit is a binary unit of information which can answer “yes” or “no” questions) representing pixels or points forming the picture elements [2]. Since the human eye can process large amounts of information (some 8 million bits), many pixels are required to store moderate quality images.

Most data contains some amount of redundancy, which can sometimes be removed for storage and replaced for recovery, but this redundancy does not lead to high compression ratios. An image can be changed in many ways that are either not detectable by the human eye or do not contribute to the degradation of the image [1]. How can image data be compressed? Fortunately, the human eye is not sensitive to a wide variety of information loss [2]. That is, the image can be changed in many ways that are either not detectable by the human eye or do not contribute to degradation of the image. If these changes are made so that the data becomes highly redundant, then the data can be compressed when the redundancy can be detected. Fractal image compression is a lossy technique proposed by Michael Barnsley and Alan Sloan in 1988, based on their work at the Georgia Institute of Technology [4]. Barnsley was the first to propose the notion of Fractal Image Compression by which real life objects would be modeled by deterministic fractal objects. The fractals that one can easily generate with an iterated function system are all of a particular type. It was Michael Barnsley and his research group from the Georgia Institute of Technology who first saw and realized the potential of iterated function systems for modeling of, e.g., clouds, trees, and leaves [3]. Fractal based techniques have been applied in several areas of digital image. About ten to fifteen years ago fractal
techniques are introduced in computer science for natural phenomena. The new idea comes from natural theory called iterated function system (IFS). Michael Barnsley and his research group from Georgia Institute first saw and realized the potential of iterated function systems for modeling of clouds, trees etc. The fractals that can generate with iterated function systems are of particular type. Thus in an IFS coding of a picture of face one should observe tiny distorted copies of face everywhere. This seemed not only unnatural but also technically infeasible. In 1989, Arnaud Jacquin one of the graduate students of Barnsley realized the first automatic fractal encoding systems in his dissertation, leaving behind the rigid thinking in terms of global IFS mappings. This broke the ice for new direction research in image coding [4][5].

1.1 FRACTAL GOLDRUSH

The basic new ideas in Jacquin’s approach was simple. An image should not thought be thought of as a collage copies of entire, but copies of smaller parts of it. The general approach is to subdivide the image into a partition into fixed size square blocks and then to find matching image portion of each part. This set up has been known as local or partitioned iterated function system (PIFS).

1.2 WHAT IS FRACTAL IMAGE COMPRESSION?

Imagine a special type of photocopying machine that reduces the image to be copied by half and reproduces it three times on the copy (see Figure 1). What happens when we feed the output of this machine back as input? Figure 2 shows several iterations of this process on several input images. We can observe that all the copies seem to converge to the same final image, the one in 2(c). Since the copying machine reduces the input image, any initial image placed on the copying machine will be reduced to a point as we repeatedly run the machine; in fact, it is only the position and the orientation of the copies that determines what the final image looks like[7].

![Figure 1.2.1: A Copy Machine that makes three reduced copy of input images.](image)

The way the input image is transformed determines the final result when running the copy machine in a feedback loop. However we must constrain these transformations, with the limitation that the transformations must be contractive (see contractive box), that is, a given transformation applied to any two points in the input image must bring them closer in the copy. This technical condition is quite logical, since if points in the copy were spread out the final image would have to be of infinite size. Except for this condition the transformation can have any form. In practice, choosing transformations of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_x & b_x \\ c_y & d_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_x \\ e_y \end{bmatrix}$$

(1.2.1)
is sufficient to generate interesting transformations called affine transformations of the plane. Each can skew, stretch, rotate, scale and translate an input image. A common feature of these transformations that run in a loop back mode is that for a given initial image each image is formed from a transformed (and reduced) copies of itself, and hence it must have detail at every scale. That is, the images are fractals\[6\][9].

Barnsley suggested that perhaps storing images as collections of transformations could lead to image compression.

### 2. MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATION

#### 2.1 CONTRACTIVE TRANSFORMATIONS

A transformation \( w \) is said to be contractive if for any two points \( P_1, P_2 \), the distance \( d(w(P_1),w(P_2)) < s d(P_1,P_2) \) for some \( s < 1 \), where \( d = \) distance. This formula says the application of a contractive map always brings points closer together (by some factor less than 1).

#### 2.2 THE CONTRACTIVE MAPPING FIXED POINT THEOREM

This theorem says something that is intuitively obvious: if a transformation is contractive then when applied repeatedly starting with any initial point, we converge to a unique fixed point. If \( X \) is a complete metric space and \( W: X \to X \) is contractive, then \( W \) has a unique fixed point \( \|W\| \). Usually \( w \) is of the form

\[
W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} w_i
\]

and \( W: X \to X \) is contractive, then \( W \) has a unique fixed point \( \|W\| \). Usually \( w \) is of the form

Where each \( w_i \) is a contractive mapping. However, this is not strictly required. It is sufficient if \( w \) is eventually contractive. However, this is not strictly required. It is sufficient if \( w_i \) is eventually contractive. For example, the mapping \( w(x) = \frac{1}{2} x \) on the real line is contractive for the normal metric \( d(x,y) = |x-y| \), because the distance between \( w(x) \) and \( w(y) \) is half the distance between \( x \) and \( y \). Furthermore, if we iterate \( w \) from any initial point \( x \), we get a sequence of points \( \frac{1}{2} x, \frac{1}{4} x, 1/8 x \ldots \) that converges to a fixed point 0. This simple sounding theorem tells us when we can expect a collection of transformations to define image.

#### 2.3 COLLAGE THEOREM:

Let \((Y, d_Y)\) be a complete metric space. Given an
suppose that there exists a contractive map \( f \) on \( Y \) with contractivity factor \( 0 \leq c < 1 \) such that
\[
\| f(y) - f(y') \| < \epsilon
\]
If \( \bar{y} \) is the fixed point of
\[
f(\bar{y}) = \bar{y},
\]
then
\[
\| y - \bar{y} \| < \frac{\epsilon}{1-c}.
\]
So if one wishes to approximate a function \( y \) with the fixed point of an unknown contractive map \( f \), it is only needed to solve the inverse problem of finding \( f \) which minimizes the collage distance of \( (y, f(y)) \). The main result in Forte and Vrscay that we will use to build one of the IFSs estimators is that the inverse problem can be reduced to minimize a suitable quadratic form in terms of the \( p_i \) given a set of affine maps \( w_i \) and the sequence of moments \( g_k \) of the target measure. Let
\[
\Pi^N = \left\{ p = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N) : p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i = 1 \right\}
\]
be the simplex of probabilities. Let \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \), \( N = 1, 2, \ldots \) be subsets of \( W = \{ w_1, w_2, \ldots \} \) the infinite set of affine contractive maps on \( X = [0, 1] \) and let \( g \) the set of the moments of any order of \( \mu \in M(X) \).

Denote by \( M \) the Markov operator of the \( N \)-maps IFS \( (w, p) \) and by \( [4] \)
\[
\nu_N = M \mu, \quad (2.3.6)
\]
, with associated moment vector of any order \( h \). The collage distance between the moment vector of \( \mu \) and \( \nu_N \)
\[
\Delta(p) = \| g - h_N \|_2 : \Pi^N \to \mathbb{R}
\]
is a continuous function and attains an absolute minimum value \( \Delta \) min on \( \Pi^N \)
\[
\| x \|_2 = x_0^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^2}{k^2}.
\]

3. ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS

Before we proceed with the image compression scheme, we will discuss the copy machine example with some notation. Running the special copy machine in a feedback loop is a metaphor for a mathematical model called an iterated function system (IFS). An iterated function system consists of a collection of contractive transformations; discuss the copy machine example with some notation. Running the special copy machine in a feedback loop is a metaphor for a mathematical model called an iterated function system (IFS). An iterated function system consists of a collection of contractive transformations, \( \{ w_i : R^2 \to R^2 \mid i = 1 \ldots n \} \) which map the plane \( R^2 \) to itself. This collection of transformations define a map
\[
W(.) = w_i(.)
\]
The map W is not applied to the plane, it is applied to sets that is, collections of points in the plane. Given input set S, we can compute \( w_i(S) \) for each \( i \), take the union of these sets, and get a new set \( W(S) \). So W is a map on the space of subsets to the plane. We call these transformations ‘Block wise’ transformations. An important fact proved by Hutchinson is that when the \( w_i \) are contractive in the plane, then W is contractive in a space of (closed and bounded) subsets of the plane. Hutchinson’s theorem allows us to use the contractive mapping fixed point theorem (see box), which tells us that the map W will have a unique fixed point in the space of all images. That is, whatever image (or set) we start with, we can repeatedly apply \( W \) to it and we will converge to a fixed image. Thus W (or the \( w_i \)) completely describe a unique image. In other words, given an input image \( f_0 \), we can run the copying machine once to get \( f_1 = W(f_0) \), twice to get \( f_2 = W(f_1) = W(W(f_0)) = W_2(f_0) \), and so on. The attractor, which is the result of running the copying machine in a feedback loop, is the limit set which is not dependent on the choice of \( f_0 \). Iterated function systems are interesting in their own right, but we are not concerned with them specifically. We will generalize the idea of the copy machine and use it to encode gray scale images.

### 3.1 AFFINE TRANSFORMATION

An affine transformation or affine map[1] or an affinity (from the Latin, affinis, "connected with") is a function between affine spaces which preserves points, straight lines and planes. Also, sets of parallel lines remain parallel after an affine transformation. An affine transformation does not necessarily preserve angles between lines or distances between points, though it does preserve ratios of distances between points lying on a straight line.

Examples of affine transformations include translation, scaling, similarity transformation, reflection, rotation, shear mapping, and compositions of them in any combination and sequence. Every linear transformation is affine, but not every affine transformation is linear[8].

If X and Y are affine spaces, then every affine transformation \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) is of the form \( x \rightarrow Mx + b \), where M is a linear transformation on X and b is a vector in Y. Unlike a purely linear transformation, an affine map need not preserve the zero point in a linear space. There are eight types of affine transformation as follows.

- **Fig 3.1.1 Identity**
- **Fig 3.1.2 Horizontal reflection**
- **Fig 3.1.3 Vertical reflection**
- **Fig 3.1.4 First diagonal ref.**
4. FRACIAL IMAGE CODING

Suppose we are dealing with an image of 256×256 in which each pixel consists of one of 256 gray levels called Range image. We then average an down sample the image into 128×128 size called domain image. We partitioned both images into blocks of 8×8 pixels sizes. We performed the following affine transformations to each block domain image

\[ D_{ij} = s_i D_{ij} + o_i \]

Where \( s_i \in [0,1], \ s_i \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( o_i \in [-255,255] \). In this case we are trying to find linear transformations of our domain block to arrive to the best [11][12] approximation of a given Range block. Each domain block is transformed and of our domain block to arrive to the best approximation of a given Range block. Each domain block is transformed and then compared to each range block \( R_{k,l} \). The comparison process is done by sliding a window of size 8×8 on the domain block. The window is moved one pixel value right and again comparison is done with the Range block. For a domain image of 128×128 size the number of comparison done will be 121×121 number of times. We will determine the values of \( s_i, o_i \) which minimizes the mean square error. The formula of \( s_i, o_i \) is given below [2].

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i,j} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i,j} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i,j} \right) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i,j} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i,j} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i,j} \right)
\]

(4.1)

And

\[
\sigma_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i,j} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i,j} \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i,j}}}
\]

(4.2)

Where \( m, n, Ns = 2 \) or 4 (size of blocks)

The value of \( S_i \) and \( O_i \) should be quantized. The formula used for quantizing the values of \( S_i \) and \( O_i \) is given by [10].

Here we have taken 4 bits for \( s_i \) and 7 bits for \( o_i \) to quantize. We can even quantize by taking 5 bits for \( s_i \) and 7 bits for \( o_i \). Each transformed domain block is compared to each range block i.e. \( T(D_{i,j}) \) is compared to each range block \( R_{k,l} \) in order to find the closest domain block to each range block. This comparison is performed using the following distortion measure.

(4.3)
Each distortion is stored and the minimum is chosen. The transformed domain block which is found to be the best approximation for the current range block is assigned to that range block, i.e. the coordinates of the domain block along with its a and to are saved into the file describing the transformation. This is what is called the Fractal Code Book[4].

4.1 ENCODING ALGORITHM

1. Suppose the domain image consists 256×256 blocks, average and down sample the range image to create the domain image of 128×128 blocks.
2. Partition the range (R) and domain images into 4×4 (or) 8×8 (or) 16×16 blocks.
3. For each block of range image rigorously such that its approximation block in the domain image within the edges of the image.
4. We have to use the eight types of isometrics (Rotate 0°, Rotate 90°, Rotate 180°, Rotate -90°, Rotate 180°, Rotate -180°, Rotate 45°, Rotate -45°, Rotate 270°).
5. Find the contrast (si), brightness (oi) and the RMS error of the image. The domain block will be the best match of range block which gives lowest RMS error.
6. Store the x,y co-ordinates of the domain block, the contrast (si), brightness (oi) and the types of isometrics used.
7. This will be the fractal code for the range image.

4.2 DECODING ALGORITHM

1. Take an image of any size and find its domain image by averaging and down sampling the range image.
2. Read the fractal code and fetch the corresponding domain block from corresponding x,y co-ordinate of the fractal code.
3. Rotate the block with the isometrics used in the fractal code.
4. Multiply the contrast and add the brightness with the corresponding block.
5. Use this method for the entire fractal code to create the new image.
6. Repeat step 1 to 6 for seven times to get the original image.
7. 5. RESULTS

Figure 5.1: Fractal Image Decoding after 1st, 2nd and 10th Iteration
Figure 5.2 Fractal Image decoding after 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 7\textsuperscript{th}Iteration

Table 5.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exhaustive Search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>Leena 5.6:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flower 8.3:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSNR in dB</td>
<td>Leena 31.69dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flower 31.24dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoding Time</td>
<td>Leena 6000 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flower 6100 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5.3 Shows The Bar Graph of Encoding time, of Various Techniques
6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Using this fractal image compression technique we achieved better image compression ratio and the quality of image becomes better with each iteration. We have decreased the encoding time to a significant amount. The encoding time can be further reduced by using parallel processing and genetic algorithm.
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